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## Class 17: Monday October 14

SUMMARY Analyzing Iterative Methods
READING Burden \& Faires, 78-86

## Asymptotic Rates of Convergence of Iterative Methods

If we have a sequence of approximations $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ which converges to $p$ and there exist positive constants $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|p_{n+1}-p\right|}{\left|p_{n}-p\right|^{\alpha}}=\lambda
$$

then the sequence is said to converge to p with order $\alpha$, with an asymptotic error constant $\lambda$. The method is said to be an " $\alpha$ order" method. How is this definition different from "big oh" and "little oh"?

We have considered $\qquad$ iterative methods in this class so far...
Write them down below with their corresponding iterative step $p_{n}=g\left(p_{n-1}\right)$

Let's rank these in order of how fast they converge to the root, i.e. in asymptotic order.

## Question

How can we prove this order? Can we determine the order of these methods analytically? can we do it experimentally? How???

## Summary

In other words the iterative method is said to be of order $\alpha$ if one can show a relationship like $\left|e_{n+1}\right| \approx \lambda\left|e_{n}\right|^{\alpha}$

## Example

Consider the two sequences $p_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ which both to converge to zero.
However, $p_{n}$ converges linearly, while $q_{n}$ converges quadratically.
Let's assume that the two sequences have the same asymptotic error constant of $\lambda=0.5$ and $p_{0}=1$. Use your calculator to generate the first 6 or so elements of each sequence and record these in the table below.

You should be able to come up with a formula which relates the $n$-th iterate to the initial guess (zeroth iterate) for both $p_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ and makes filling out the table easier....

| Iterate <br> $n$ | Linear Convergence | Quadratic Convergence <br> $q_{n}=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Do you think it is a "big deal" whether a method is linearly convergent versus quadratically convergent?

## Bisection Method

Derive the error formula for the bisection method and write it below. In other words, get an expression for $e_{n+1}$ in terms of $e_{n}$ and or $n$.

Therefore, Bisection is a $\qquad$ method, with $\lambda=$ $\qquad$ and $\alpha=$ $\qquad$ Fixed Point Iteration
We shall derive the asymptotic rate of convergence for Functional Iteration. $p_{n+1}=g\left(p_{n}\right)$ and $e_{n+1}=p-p_{n+1}$ and $e_{n}=p-p_{n}$ therefore $p_{n+1}=g\left(p-e_{n}\right)=$
$\qquad$ method with $\alpha=$ $\qquad$

## Newton's Method

In a similar fashion, we shall derive the asymptotic rate of convergence for Newton's Method and fill-in the table below

| Method | Order of <br> Convergence | Error <br> Formula |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bisection |  |  |
| False Position | 1.442 |  |
| Secant | $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$ |  |
| Newton's |  |  |
| Picard |  |  |

NOTE: The above only apply for simple roots (i.e. a root of multiplicity 1).

## Definition

A root $r$ of an equation $f(r)=0$ has multiplicity $m$ if and only if $0=f(r)=f^{\prime}(r)=\cdots=f^{(m-1)}(r)=0$ but $f^{(m)}(r) \neq 0$.

For roots of multiplicity $m>1$ Newton's Method has the relationship that $\left|e_{n+1}\right| \approx \frac{M-1}{M}\left|e_{n}\right|$

