Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor

Grigoryan

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Good teaching style, made things quite clear.
   Very amusing person who made class a bit more fun because of his personality.
   I personally felt he spent a long time on certain things that didn’t need so much time but overall it wasn’t a big issue.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Book was not very good.
   Level of difficulty was pretty low.
Mathematics Department - University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: (signature)  Course #:  Sb  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   The instructor was really straightforward and always able to answer questions. Unlike some math classes, the lecture was actually helpful and not confusing. I like his grading scale. The homework was awesome - thorough but not too time consuming. Perhaps he should talk to the physics department about their homework (please?).

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   I didn't use the book. Paul's online math notes is definitely the way to go.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Gregorian  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good, but somewhat strict.
   Quizzes are a stupid idea that cost many points.
   Make easier or give a way to study for them.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Not enough examples in book.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Gruniaas  Course #: 583  Quarter: S’10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He is very funny. He is a very solid math teacher.
   He knows the material well and has good examples.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   No comment, exactly as was expected multivariable calculus to be
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Name] Course #: Math 5F Quarter: [Quarter]

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled-out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   "Skips steps to reveal a conclusion, makes it difficult to follow."
   "Very monotone and shared words make it difficult to understand and remember the important things."
   "No emphasis on important things."
   "Categorizing the subjects covered would help a lot."

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   "Really dumb course."
   "No background on 3D math & No experience w/ polar coordinates!"

   "The WORST piece of shit book I've ever seen!"

   Please replace with a book – not paper waste! Jabberish!
Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: S13  Quarter: S10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Viktor was a brilliant teacher. He really understood the course material, so he had no trouble explaining it or answering questions. He presented lots of good examples, and he gave lots of explanations of physical analogies of hard-to-visualize surfaces.

His boardwork was very easy to read and follow.

His exams and homework were fair.

I would definitely take another class from Viktor.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Gregory Course #: 58 Quarter: 5/10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Best math teacher all year
   Great at the board; enthusiastic and highly entertaining
   Really knows what he's talking about and makes it easy to understand, with all his talk about apples and Swiss Alps

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Difficult class but preferred over 5A --
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Vitek Grigoryan  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring ’10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Very well prepared. Answers question well.
   Great guy. Sometimes slightly intimidating to approach. I feel like he would get mad if I ask a question that he feels I should already know the answer to.

   A+  5/5

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Needs more examples
   Proofs take up too much time, I like seeing proofs but some are so abstract that it doesn’t help to see them.
Mathematics Department - University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: 62160ZYAN  Course #: SB  Quarter: FA-16 2016

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Very smart and funny. Nice and helpful. He should do more examples in class through instead of purely theoretical proofs. Overall, good teacher. Love his accent.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Probably the most difficult math class I've taken so far. Book isn't very helpful as the examples aren't very clear and don't help much with understanding of the material.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

 Excellent teacher. Very clearly explained things. Very funny. I'm very satisfied with the quality of his teaching. I also loved that he "forgot" the formula associated with theorems so he had to do the proof to get the formula. It's funny and a very effective teaching style - it helped me remember the formulas and understand how they work. Don't change a thing!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Interesting course. Very interesting material. I am happy I took it and very satisfied.
Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: SB  Quarter: SD

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Viktor was AMAZING! Hands down the best math prof I've had here! He knew the material extremely well, explained things clearly, did plenty of examples, was very willing to answer questions. He made class as interesting as possible and even mildly enjoyable. His exams were also fair.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The course was challenging but certainly doable. The book was kind of pricey though. I didn't end up using it that much.
Instructor: Grigorjyan  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

Very intelligent professor. I appreciated that he would frequently give us proofs of the various formulas.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The pace picked up a little too much towards the end of the class. I would have liked a little more time to comprehend everything.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigorjan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: S 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   good lectures, examples, and drawings

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   chalkboard should be cleaned more often
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Strengths: was willing to help students by answering questions. Grading was fair and practice exams were helpful. (No major was useful.)

   Weakness: went a bit fast during the first half of the quarter.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor G. [Redacted]  Course #: 5B  Quarter: S’10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   can’t say enough about how great he is. Clear, thorough teaching, very knowledgeable, funny, excellent examples.


   One of, if not the, best math teachers I’ve ever had.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   it’s okay I guess. A bit difficult,

   but it’s to be expected.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigorian  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Fair exams, challenging but not ridiculous - actually tested knowledge of material

Lectures were sometimes disorganized - it would help to clearly state definitions & theorems. When looking back at my notes it was often difficult to tell what was going on. Also, it would help to write proof before a theorem.

Homework was helpful, some hard questions but it helped to know if I understood the material

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Ahh! I was warned about the difficulty of this class but was still surprised. The course moves very quickly but was helped a lot
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor:______ Course #:______ Quarter:_____ Spring____

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Good teacher but spends too much time doing proofs or conceptual work, not enough examples.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The textbook is not very good.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan Course #: Math 5B Quarter: Spr'10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He was a very good teacher, much better than my last Math class. He was prepared for lecture and was very clear in his presentation of material. Very helpful when answering student Q's.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Book is okay, not great. Pretty good class overall, also fairly easy.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Very good teacher, despite not having the book, takes a while for the information to sink in, but I understand what he teaches to a certain degree.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Had no book. Online resources helped. Fairly difficult, definitely a jump in difficulty from SA.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Grigoroff
Course #: Math 353
Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   I think doing more example problems would be more beneficial and maybe better consistency in asking questions on homework and on exams.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Difficulty was good, but the textbook was very dense and confusing at times.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Signature] Course #: [Course Number] Quarter: [Summer]

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good example, clear speech, very prepared!

   Already learned most of this in a diff math class

2. Please comment on the course (for example, content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   have minions clean the chalkboards!!
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Try to do more applications/examples instead of derivations of formulae.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Didn't buy the book so I don't know...
The chalk boards have distracting writings, please erase that shit!
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan, Viktor Course #: Math 5B Quarter: S 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

- Viktor is a boss
- Best math prof at UCSB
- Teach all my other classes
- Concepts were made clear, easy to ask questions
- Good & relaxed atmosphere
- Great job

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

- The textbook isn't very useful, it's expensive, and they don't even bind it for you
  - (I didn't open it at all much)
  - (Viktor is that good)
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: MATH 56B  Quarter: S 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

He good job, I mean that I was interested despite the fact that it was a math class. Lectures were usually pretty clear. There were a few things that were rushed but for the most part things made sense.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: V. Grigoryan Course #: SB Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Very prepared and straight-forward teaching style.

Hire him!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

I like the webwork system.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Gregory  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring '10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Clarity of lectures and explanations of material made for easy understanding.
   Should

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Should have focused more on geometrical representations and physical explanations.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Gregson Course #: 56 Quarter: Sp

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by each student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   First good math teacher I've had at UCSB. Very friendly and approachable. Great during office hours. Lecture was sometimes hard to follow but part of that was the difficulty of the class. At times I wasn't sure what to take notes on.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   This book is horrible, pick a new one.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigor'yan  Course #: Math 310  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading) Continue on back if necessary.

   Very well organized and understood all the material very well. Was not fair in grading and obviously enjoyed teaching. Overall a very good professor.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Textbook wasn't very good. Very difficult to read and understand. Everything else was ok.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Gogoryan  Course #: 058  Quarter: S'10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He is definitely prepared as he does almost every lecture without notes; however, I found his lectures largely theoretical and not all that helpful with some of the homework problems. I love this guy because his lectures were cinematic and compelling.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The textbook was unhelpful and did not have enough examples for me to see what was going on. It was pretty difficult, but doable.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Course #: 513 Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Helpful in explaining the class.
   Interrupted or made comments when necessary. Able to talk in virtually anytime. Was very helpful and considerate during office hours.
   Aware of helpful sources and gave advice on them.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Course was well structured, gone through many detailed topics also homework, lectures, sections were corrected on in consistent manner.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Signature] Course #: 5B Quarter: S10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good teacher, far greater great at answering questions.

   Very good at drawing graphs.

   Didn't cover all the material because we went too in-depth into other areas (I think?). Hopefully that doesn't mess us up for SE.

   Overall a very enjoyable class.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Good.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math 56  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.
   
   The instructor was very knowledgeable, but some lectures felt a bit rushed. It often felt as if we were thrown equations with nearly no theory behind them.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The textbook was very disorganized and not as helpful as it could be. It would have been nice to have greater knowledge of different coordinate systems before taking this course.
Instructor: Grigoryan, V   Course #: 58   Quarter: 5

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Very fair in grading & testing

I got a lot from the lectures, which was reinforced in homeworks.

Sometimes could be more explicit in when to apply certain methods.

Loved the class overall!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

I thought the text book was a little dense & difficult to navigate.

Also, the fluorescent lighting in Garretz is depressing.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: 56  Quarter: 2020

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   He spent too much time reviewing the material and thus did not cover enough material.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The course does not seem to cover enough material, and lacks rigor.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Signature]  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: S 10'

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   - elaborate explanations
   - easy to talk to
   - clear speech and good voice projection

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   - interesting applications of math
Instructor: Viktor Gvigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: S'10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

Viktor was very good at clearly presenting material both mathematically and visually; he is by far the best math instructor I have had at UCSB, as he was able to teach material with ease. Had it not been for his strong teaching skills, I have no doubt I would have done poorly in this class; because of him, I expect to get an A. He has a good sense of humor, and always makes learning painless and enjoyable.

I give him an A+.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Textbook was terrible; I would not recommend buying it.
Viktor was the only reason I learned anything. Chalkboards need cleaning.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: GRIGORYAN  Course #: MATH  Quarter: SPRING '10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Viktor moved quickly through the material, so we covered a lot but not thoroughly which was hard at times. He gives very organized and clean lectures and excellent boardwork. His willingness to answer questions was helpful and always provided further explanation. Homework was fair and manageable. Exams were fair and relevant to emphasis in lecture on certain topics.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Content of course was good, the book was dense and difficult to find supplemental help on topics as its all examples that I found too specific, but lectures and CLAS tutoring sufficed.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Igor Grigor'yan  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Fall

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He always was prepared to teach the class without any notes. He was always clear in presentation. His grading was fair and he was a good teacher. He was very compelling.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The difficulty was hard but fair.
Instructor: Gregorian  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

He was very nice. Sometimes he wasn't very good at answering questions and went into too much derivation detail during lecture.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The book is terrible.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Goryan Course #: E8 Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.
   
   Good preparation, knows material and introduces in a clear manner. Fair grader.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
   
   Reasonable level of difficulty.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor (First Name) Course #: [Blank] Quarter: [Spring]

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Do more examples and practice problems, don't lecture on concepts too much do \( \frac{1}{2} \) \& \( \frac{1}{2} \). Create homework questions that are relevant to the test.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Tests much more difficult than homework. Make them be around the same difficulty. Publish MANY Practice Tests. Textbook not that easy to follow.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan  
Course #: 5B  
Quarter: Spr 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   His teaching is very well organized and prepared. Sometimes I think he wants to cover more than time allows so the amount of examples given in lecture is not very large. He is very willing to answer questions and is very generous. The homework and exams was fair. Although I found the midterm to be rather long for the amount of time given. Also having homework due right after a midterm is also stressful. He seems to be fair on his grading. Overall I thought he was a great professor and hope to have him again.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   This class is difficult and requires skills not taught in previous classes here. I also didn't find the textbook very helpful.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan Course #: 5B Quarter: S 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

He was very good at teaching with examples, he makes class entertaining with his jokes and makes learning the material easier.

It would be better if there were solutions for the practice exam instead of just answers.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

- Very organized
- Homework was not always covered by topics in lecture
- Sometimes made things too complicated when they didn't need to

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Good Course
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: Math 28  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Lectures were interesting and well prepared. Professor Grigoryan is the best math professor I have ever had. He engages the students in his lectures, answers questions completely, and honestly desires his students to do well.

   GREAT GREAT GREAT PROFESSOR!!!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Course #: ______ Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Really good instructor one of the better math professors I have had at UCSB. I appreciate him as a teacher.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara
Instructor: [Name] Course #: [Course Number] Quarter: [Spring 2020]

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He had a very good attitude.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   It was cool.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan Course #: MATH 20B Quarter: Spring 2020

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Strengths -> Overall good preparation, speaks well
              Knows it stuff, great professor

   Weaknesses -> None

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Overall great should have professor like V in UCSB.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Fair, clear, awesome teacher
   Always available and provides great help when confused over the material.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Fair. Textbook slightly unhelpful.
   Would like another. Other than that awesome class would take again with same instructor.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: S 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He is very well prepared for this class, knows the material very well and was able to convey ideas. Although I understood what was taught in lecture, I had to work harder on my own to apply the material to the actual problems, like homework or midterms. It would also help to mention what sections in the book we were going to cover in the day.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Book could be better but can't really say how.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: G. Vakil
Course #: 613
Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He was a really good teacher. His lessons on the board were thorough and easy to follow. He was willing to answer questions and his answers were very helpful. He asked the class questions as he lectured and when I answered wrong he would explain the concept until I understood. Definitely my favorite professor this year.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The material wasn't too difficult. If I had problems I couldn't answer, I had many things to turn to for help.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Need more examples, sometimes the application of the theorem isn't clear and students get confused. The professor is willing to answer students' question and provide help.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The topic of the course tends to jump around. Which makes the course harder to understand.
Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: SB  Quarter: Spr'10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Great lecturer. It would be nice to have worked out solutions for the midterm, the practice midterm, the homework, or at least the practice final. The homework did not prepare me for the midterm.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The book and the order of lecture did not correlate.
Instructor: [Signature] Course #: 515 Quarter: 510

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   [Signature] stuff is good

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Course was pretty fast pace
   Need to fix chair & 4th row
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Gregory  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring 2000

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   - Great understanding
   - Well-drawn, organized examples
   - Sense of humor  – Sense of "Grad Justice"
   - Russian (kidding that's just random)

   - No examples with #s
   - Variables hurt my brain, though they are all
     seemingly
   - Practical application problems would be nice

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   - Tough !!!
   - Memorize processor % do "simple 3-D functions"

   - Hard to internalize
   - Rationalizations of problems to make sense!
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan. Course #: MAM 5B. Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Professor Grigoryan is a brilliant professor who knows the material thoroughly.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Although the course was difficult, it was fair.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: G.w. Tann Course #: 56 Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good lectures. Well prepared. Knows his material.
   Well organized. No suggestions for improvement.
   On top of his work.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Difficult but fair.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: V: h (or Griego) Course #: MATH 58 Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.
   
   Very clear explanation of the course material, and the grading is fair. Points are a plus.

   Good at answering questions.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Back is so-so.

   Course is challenging.
Instructor: **Viktor Grigoryan** Course #: **Math 5B** Quarter: **Spring 2010**

**Instructions**: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   To liked how he make us imagine all the 3-D images and would make the class feel like a discussion rather than a one-sided lecture.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   It's a lot of material but good class. Book is really intensely written.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

The instructor does a very good job of explaining new concepts, and does a good job with examples. He is always prepared and always has things to teach. Also does a good job of answering questions.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Course is good
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Gregory  Course #: 5B  Quarter: S 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

Professor did an extremely good job with the material, made it really interesting for such dry material. Definitely knew his stuff, and explained in terms I could understand. Liked the way he explained things in terms of things we can visualize.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Hard course, dry material, but seems necessary. Advice to students: don't study for tests just with few problems, think of applications.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [signature]
Course #: Math 5B
Quarter: Spring '10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Very knowledgeable with very high expectations.

   Too much emphasis on proofs, not enough real-world applications.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Difficult concepts felt oversimplified and easy concepts were made unnecessarily difficult.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He's organized, funny, and approachable. Always knows what he is doing. Sometimes difficult to understand but otherwise relatively clear. Homework was helpful but not all material for the homework was covered in class.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   HARD!
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: GRIGORYAN  Course #: 513  Quarter: S10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Although we fell behind on lecture schedule & sometimes got off topic in lecture, instruction was always helpful. Lecture was always interesting and he has a good sense of humor so something funny usually happened every lecture. Lecture was always clear and he is always willing able to answer questions. Homework was helpful & grades are fair!

   Overall, I really enjoyed his teaching.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The course was very challenging and I didn't find the textbook very helpful; more examples than information making it hard to learn from.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Name] Course #: 53 Quarter: [Quarter]

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Strength: willingness of answering questions
   Fair grading

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The text book is very confusing.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigorjan
Course #: Math
Quarter: Spring 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

The instructor is brilliant, he knows his material real well. Yet I think at times he goes way over the students heads. At times he solves problems in a way that are very complex, where they could have been solved in a simpler way.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The textbook was horrible for the course, there are much better books out there. But the course was great.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: Math 51  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Clear explanation of material and geometric/physical interpretation.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Straightforward calculus w/ useful applications. Way better than 5A.

   Did not use this textbook at all, and even though I may still need it,
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktoringan
Course #: MATH 5B
Quarter: Spring 20

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Victor is good at explaining concepts and lectures. His style is very good for me. I can learn a lot from his lectures. His communication skills are excellent.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The course content is very good.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: W. James, Victor  Course #: MATH 35B  Quarter: S'00

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary. *I'm not sure what was taught or how it was taught.* More examples.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical condition of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary. *Textbook was confusing, mic kept dying in class.* First half of course seemed too fast too many topics confusing notation.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   The teacher was good. He explained it well and gave examples, the homework problems & test problems did not correspond w/ what he taught some of the time.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   I think that this course requires more HW because I found it hard to memorize some of the formulas. Should have more (2 midterms) instead of
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, problem solving strategies for different concepts, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   a) More examples should be done.
   b) Problem solving strategies for different concepts should be shared, not just derivations and formulas.
   c) The class moved too quickly.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   a) First half seemed very disorganized, special topic was...
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Grigorjan  Course #: 513  Quarter: Spring 20

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Lack of examples

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   It was fair, the textbook is very difficult to read, for the most of the time, it is hard to understand what was going on.
Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: 5B  Quarter: 510

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, homework, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Knew the material very thoroughly. 
   Didn't go into much detail on most topics, just did bare minimum of teaching.
   Left something vague. But overall, it was good.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Textbook is awful.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: **Viktor Grigoryan**  Course #: **Math 5B**  Quarter: **Spring**

**Instructions:** We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   - Lectures, while informative, aren't very well structured. Needs more examples to go along with general formulas.
   - He was confusing.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   - The textbook is really confusing.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan Course #: SB Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

The instructor is very intelligent, and has a great sense of humor. He does teach a little bit too quickly, but that is the course fault not his.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Poor, Way too much content
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Gregorian  Course #: SB  Quarter: S 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   strengths - funny

   weaknesses - moved fast, but there was a lot of material so he kind of hard to

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   demos/models would help a lot

   the book doesn't help

   CLAS is very helpful
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Gregory
Course #: 5B
Quarter: 510

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Too theoretical, need more examples rather than strictly theorems. Also skipped around in relation to the book, which was confusing.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Need a better book, has a thin one & confusing format, not enough applied examples, more theorem-based
Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   I liked that he derived the concepts rather than just giving us the formulas and telling us to memorize them. He really wanted us to learn the material and he was a great teacher. Also really funny!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Definitely the 6th hardest math class I've taken because I'm bad at picturing things in 3 dimensions but I still liked it.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Griego  Course #: 56  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Great teacher gets through material with clarity and speed. Great teacher gets through material with clarity and speed and very nice about it.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Lots of material hard to keep track of everything. Lots of material hard to keep track of everything.
Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: MATH 4B  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Excellent speaker, has great knowledge of subject material, responds immediately to emails, he’s funny!

Talks kind of fast sometimes

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Totes  Hard Course
Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: Math5B  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Strengths: *succinctly goes over material from last class in the beginning of lecture*
*always checks if people have questions*

Weakness: *Exams/some homework problems were difficult*

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

*The textbook wasn't helpful, waste of money*
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Gregaryan  Course #: Math 58  Quarter: Fall

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Organized lectures and good at answering questions and doing examples clearly, but lectures were sometimes overly theoretical.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Textbook is horrible and largely useless.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Signature]  Course #: [Signature]  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Went in to theoretical, but was weak when going through examples. There were only a few and too simple to help when the middle/final reviews came up. The class was mandatory to explain the harder problem that she did not get to.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The book is garbage junk. Needs to be replaced. No help at all.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: S13  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   very good overall, taught the difficult points well, made visualization easy.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   A little difficult textbook could be better.
Instructor: Erişyan Course #: Math 5B Quarter: Spring '10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   I really enjoyed his teaching method.
   Everything was very clear and organized
   and it was very apparent that he knew his information.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The information was decent, some of it was difficult. The book wasn't much help though. Relied heavily on notes and the internet.
Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Pretty consistently does examples of equations/concepts he introduces although occasionally leaves it out. The examples are very helpful.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Discussion is kind of boring.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Vitali Ignatenko. Course #: Math 5B. Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

He related all the concepts to relevant things in real life well.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The coursework and midterm was tough but relatively fair.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading) Continue on back if necessary.

Most lectures are well prepared and helpful. It is also they are also very clear in terms of presentation.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Need a better textbook.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Gregorian  Course #: 58  Quarter: 8/0

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   good teaching skill, must have been learned in the K 68. Excellent bomb dissecting skills. Math topics covered clearly and well.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigor Iyoun Course #: M115B Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good teaching. clear, not so clear on what will be on test. Potentially being sniped is scary. Why are defecters teaching us? HW is ok, discussions are good. Better review material for test would be good.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   many desks are breaking, otherwise no complaints
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   As a physics major who was very bad at math, Viktor is by far the best math professor I've had. He was able to communicate concepts both visually and mathematically with complete clarity. He expected a lot of us students, but he was always available and capable of answering our questions. Viktor is a Stud!

   Thanks Viktor!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   A lot of content! The chalkboard should be cleaned more too.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor (sorjorian) Course #: 513 Quarter: Spring 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good explanations. Could go into more detail on the homework problems. Overall good course.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Did not use the textbook
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan     Course #: Math 5B     Quarter: S '10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Lectures were well-organized. Sometimes different topics were a little unclear, just because I was not familiar. Class was great to attend. Great professor. Funny guy. 😊

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Course was a lot more difficult than I expected but still manageable.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Grigoryan Course #: 5 Course Quarter: 5/0

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Two = confusing! Long! Scattered!
   Attitude = needs to improve
   Teaching = needs improvement covering too much
   Not enough example

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Good and challenging
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Gogovyan  Course #: 552  Quarter: 5/0

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   rushed. some topics were cut and dry.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   hard to see from distance.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Signature]  Course #: 547  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ECSI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He was good but goes really fast and skips some steps. Hard to follow the math

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   This is a very hard course
Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: 5B  Quarter: 2010 spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good explanatory skills for such abstract material

   Good drawings of graph

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Pretty difficult
Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Good at answering questions I like examples given in class. Good personality in class.
   I liked how you outlined lectures.


2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Good room
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: V. A. Grigoryan  Course #: Math 60B  Quarter: Spring 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Quality Teacher

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The Book Sucked

   aka. useless
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: \[ \sqrt{\text{Ikeov Giorgiyan}} \] Course #: Math 5B Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Drove too much. Didn't do enough examples or applications

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Difficult but doable
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring '10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Explain the material in more depth and give more examples.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   We need better Books!
I am not using pen because it is hard to use a pen in math.

Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Signature] Course #: 5B Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be made available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Lectures were very engaging and kept my interest.

   The professor did a very good job at explaining the concepts and explained in such a way that it was easy to grasp without much trouble. My one complaint was the textbook.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The course was good but the textbook was not.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigor yan Course #: Math 5B Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

He was always prepared and organized. He went very fast through the material so it was hard to keep up. He easily answered everybody's questions.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

This course is really hard. There is way too much material to cover in such a short time.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   HE IS DEFINITELY ONE OF THE BEST MATH PROFESSORS ON CAMPUS [ESPECIALLY COMPARED TO LAST QUARTER!]. VERY ENTHUSIASTIC AND ALWAYS PREPARED. HE ALWAYS ANSWERED QUESTIONS. VERY FAIR WITH GRADING ETC.

   REAL PLEASURE TO HAVE AS A PROF.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   ATTENDANCE SHOULD BE MANDATORY.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math SQ  Quarter: S10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Excellent! Very clear explanations, clear & clean board work, and I especially like your answers to questions, and your response to stupid questions, i.e. “what do you mean? that makes no sense”. Best math professor so far.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Good, though the book is too expensive.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Course #: Math 5B Quarter: 2010 Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He was very good at explaining concepts in depth and giving background information. Very willing to answer questions.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #:  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   There needs to be more examples because some sections are only proofs or explanations. Examples in that area would be a great help.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The course was pretty difficult. There are a lot of things to remember.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

---

Very good professor & very clear in teaching, and went over a lot of materials. Overall an amazing teacher, would love to have him again. For another math class.

---

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

---

Big change from BC/SA, but manageable. A lot of material, but doable if you are on your game.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   This was my favorite class. I wish every math teacher I have was like you!!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Calculus is a tough subject, but it went very well this quarter with you teaching. Have a great summer! :)


Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigorion Course #: Math 5B Quarter: Spring '10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

Lectures are prepared and show professor's knowledge in subject.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Quizzes are too hard, section isn't helpful, homework is on matter outside of lecture/section, books are expensive and hard to find.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Name] Course #: 5B Quarter: J/S

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The textbook is useless. It does very little to explain the topics of the course.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan Victor  Course #: Math 56  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   - need more ex.
   - has clear concept

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   good grading curve
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan Course #: Math 5B Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good professor and TA

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Interesting Material, Terrible Text Book
Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: 5B  Quarter: Spring '10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Very good preparation, Answers all questions, Very knowledgeable

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   There is a huge amount of content, would be nice if it was a little more focused
   * Blackboard is a success! Much appreciated!
   * No PowerPoint please!
Instructor: Grigoryan  Course #: 5B  Quarter: S 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The teaching was good, but I would have liked to have seen some more difficult examples in class.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The course was good. I appreciated the division between all of the derivative topics and then the integral topics. However, I did not find the book to be very helpful.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan Course #: Math 58 Quarter: S 0

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Smartest math professor.
   He can actually solve his own problems.

   Homework is too hard.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Too fast.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan Course #: Math 13 Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He takes the time to explain problems well when people ask and is a good teacher.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Course is tough but a good teacher makes it tolerable.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan Course #: 5B Quarter: S10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Teacher taught very well and placed an importance on learning "why" the math was the way it was. Showed a lot of proofs for easy learning.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The text book is a little difficult to learn from. Very unorganized. Other than that class was well organized.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math 3B  Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Strength – Very good understanding of the material.

   Weakness – Tests do not match difficulty of material.

   Test could be harder.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Tests do not match the difficulty of which he taught. Tests also harder.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: GRIGORYAN  Course #:  Quarter: 5/10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   **Strengths:** He was able to apply all concepts and presented all the material exceptionally clearly.

   He has been the best math teacher I have had thus far.

   His boardwork and homework and tests were fairly representative to the material.

   Explains difficult concepts exceptionally well.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The course was good, however, the introduction about vectors and properties of vectors was emphasized for too long. Book isn't good.
Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5h  Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

- Was very prepared and organized
- Explained concepts thoroughly
- Always asked if there were questions, and did a great job at reviewing the material from the previous lecture

- Sometimes it...
  - homework was a bit long, but otherwise fine.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

- At some points there were 4 or 5 concepts covered in one lecture. It's possible to easily follow 2 and even 3, but once there are more, it's hard to stay focused and retain all the new information. Try to space out the material a bit more.
Instructor: Viktor Grigorov
Course #: MATH 5A
Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading) Continue on back if necessary.

   Very good at explaining difficult concepts. It would be nice to see more example problems in class. At the same time it is good how you go into depth about each subject. You did a very good job of making abstract concepts accessible.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The textbook for this course is horrible!! It is very difficult to navigate and finding example problems is very difficult. Also the chalk boards were not cleaned between lectures & he was the only person who went there...
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

PROFESSOR ORLOVICH WAS ALWAYS PREPARED FOR LECTURE, AND THE LECTURES WERE NEVER SLOW. HE IS VERY FUNNY. MORE IN CLASS EXAMPLES WOULD HAVE BEEN HELPFUL. SOMETIMES THE VOCABULARY IN THE BOOK/HOMEWORK/LECTURE WAS DIFFERENT, WHICH MADE THE CLASS A BIT MORE DIFFICULT.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

THE COURSE WAS DIFFICULT, THE BOOK HELPED A LITTLE BIT.
Instructor: GRIGORYAN  Course #: SB  Quarter: S10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

Grigoryan was the best math professor I have had this year in terms of keeping the subject material interesting. The only difficult part thing I would change is to have him do more hw problems to make us practice the material. Overall, the class was difficult because of the amount of material. His midterm was very difficult because I felt unprepared for it.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The content was not too difficult but the amount of material was a lot. I did not was not able to buy the book due to lack of funds but I was able to get by just by using a previous math book. Plus I heard the math book was not helpful at all. Again, I would suggest having more practice problems so students become familiar and it won't be so hard.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigorjan, Course #: Math 5B, Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Grigorjan was by far the best math teacher I’ve had here at UCSB. He had a great attitude towards the students and always answered questions with clarity. His teaching was phenomenal and he made sure the class always understood what he was doing.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The course material was good too. I feel like I learned a good amount.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Grigoryan, Viktor  
Course #: Math 5B  
Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Strength - gives example

   weak - goes too fast
   - no time to truly understand material
   - tests are too complicated, doesn't test exactly on what teacher

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Too much to cover
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: 56  Quarter: 510

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Sometimes went from one thing to another who being especially clear on what was going on, but this may have been due to the nature of the material. Seemed very well prepared and impressively able to just teach material without aid of a PowerPoint or paper to read from or anything like it. Very willing to answer questions or expand on very well answered things found confusing.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Interesting course but it seemed like a lot of material. Nice how most of it related. Advice: Just buy the non-UCSB version of the text even though it lacks the infinite series chapter, especially if one taking 5B. $5.99 isn't that bad. Text per chapter's addition...
Instructor:__________ Course #: _______ Quarter:_______

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   his explanations were pretty good,
   I just wish the homework had more practice on certain concepts that he barely glazed over in class.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   definitely learned how to graph better
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Pretty good prof, fair, but tough grader. Talented & funny when appropriate. Very good at taking random questions in class. Specialty in this area.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.). Continue on back if necessary.

Course covered too much material.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Victor Grigoryan Course #: 5B Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Explained everything very well. He was well spoken and made his lectures and examples clear. He was always willing to answer questions and explain them thoroughly.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The homework represented the exam material well. The course was fair in its difficulty.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan Course #: 5B Quarter: Spring

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   I loved his practice exams! Exams were very nice and fair. HW was good too. His midterm curve was awesome!
   His sense of humor is totally awesome!
   He did too many proofs and not enough examples

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   too much stuff to cover! ouch
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan Course #: Math 58 Quarter: Spring 2010

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary

   - lectures were very good and he was prepared good for
   - was very enthusiastic about teaching and stimulated subject well
   - knew exactly what he was talking about
   - actually taught the class instead of present info
   - could talk a little louder

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   - material was pretty dense but interesting
   - textbook is hard to read and expensive as fuck
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Viktor is very prepared, friendly, and has a very good teaching style. Best math teacher I've had.

   Thank you.

   Very clear, precise, and helpful. Answers emails quickly.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Fun course. Challenging, but not impossible.

   Professor Grigoryan made it easy to learn.
Mathematics Department – University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Viktor Grigoryan  Course #: Math 5B  Quarter: spring 10

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, boardwork, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

As the professor necessarily has to show how we arrive at the equations we end up using, I think that people sometimes get confused during the equation derivations and wish more time was spent just using the final equation/method to solve problems. Overall I think the professor did a good job teaching this difficult material.

   Thanks!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: Content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The textbook used some unconventional ways of saying this, such as prob and that other related one were a little different and I think the professor noticed this because students brought it up.