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## SUMMARY

Let's start our reviews of various types of modelling by reviewing models that involve proportionality and model fitting (using least squares).

## DEFINITION: proportionality

Two variables $y$ and $x$ are proportional (to each other) if one is always a constant multiple of the other." (Giordano, Weir \& Fox, page 2.) We denote this relationship as $y \propto x$ if and only if $y=k x$ where $k$ is a non-zero constant.

Consider the following table of "famous proportionalities."
Hooke's Law: $F=k S$, where $F$ is the restoring force in a spring stretched or compressed a distance $S$.
Newton's Law: $F=m a$, where $a$ is the acceleration of a mass $m$ subject to an external force $F$.
Ohm's Law: $V=i R$ where $i$ is the current induced by a voltage $V$ across a resistance $R$. Boyle's law: $V=\frac{k}{p}$, where under a constant temperature, the volume $V$ of an ideal gas is proportional to the pressure $p$.
Einstein's theory of relativity: $E=m c^{2}$, where the energy $E$ equivalent of a mass $m$ is proportional with constant $c^{2}$, the speed of light squared.
Kepler's third law: $T=c R^{3 / 2}$, where $T$ is the period (in days) and $R$ is the mean distance to the sun.

## Problem

Consider the data in S: \Math Courses $\backslash$ Math396 $\backslash$ Kepler.xls. Try to obtain a value for the constant of proportionality $c$ in Kelper's Third Law. (What are your units?)

What are some assumptions you have to make? Explain what you have to do to obtain your answer for $c$.

## Approximation Theory

In approximation theory we have a set of $m$ data points $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ for which we do not know what the actual function $f(x)$ which reflects the relationship between the input variable $x$ and the output $y$.

Suppose we define the deviation as $\delta_{k}=P\left(x_{k}\right)-y_{k}$ and find a function $P(x)$ such that the total deviations between the function $P(x)$ and the data points $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ is minimised. There is more than one way to do this.

We define a function $E$ which represents the total deviation we are trying to minimize and we want to find $P$ which minimizes $E$, where $E$ can have different forms.
Some Ways To Formulate E Are:

$$
E_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|P\left(x_{k}\right)-y_{k}\right|
$$

OR

$$
E_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq m}\left|P\left(x_{k}\right)-y_{k}\right|
$$

OR

$$
E_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[P\left(x_{k}\right)-y_{k}\right]^{2}
$$

From statistics we know that if the data are normally distributed then the square error $\left(E_{2}\right)$ is the best form of the error to use to measure how well $P(x)$ is approximating the unknown function $f(x)$ represented by the data $y_{k}$.

## Linear Fit

If we assume that the polynomial we choose for $P(x)$ is linear so that $P(x)=a x+b$ then the problem of finding $P$ becomes a minimization problem. If we consider $E$ is a function of the parameters $a$ and $b$ what it the problem we have to solve?

Therefore

$$
a=\frac{\overline{x y}-\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}}{\overline{x^{2}}-\bar{x}^{2}} \quad b=\frac{\overline{x^{2}} \cdot \bar{y}-\overline{x y} \cdot \bar{x}}{\overline{x^{2}}-\bar{x}^{2}}
$$

The line $P(x)=a x+b$ is known as the "least squares" line, or "line of best fit" or "regression line"

## Example

Consider the following data from Table 3.3 of Giordano, Fox \& Weir.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{i}$ | $y_{i}$ | $x_{i}^{2}$ | $x_{i} y_{i}$ | $P\left(x_{i}\right)$ | $\left\|y_{i}-P\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|$ |
| 0.5 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | 20.1 |  |  |  |  |

## Problem

Attempt to predict the value of the variable $y$ when $x=2.25$ assuming a relationship of $y=A x^{2}$.

Fitting Data to Nonlinear Functions: OR Making Nonlinear Relationships Appear Linear
Isn't there some way we could transform the equation $y=b e^{a x}$ and $y=b x^{a}$ so that a linear relationship would appear? Then we could use our previously defined normal equations of least-squares fit for a line.

Think about introducing some new variables $Y$ and $X$ such that there is a linear relationship between $Y$ and $X$ even though $y$ and $x$ are non-linearly related.

## A Harder One

How could you pick $Y$ and $X$ so that you could solve the normal equations and fit data to $y=\alpha x e^{\beta x}$ ?

