# Differential Equations

Math 341 Spring 2005 ©2005 Ron Buckmire MWF 8:30 - 9:25am Fowler North 2 http://faculty.oxy.edu/ron/math/341

## Class 23: Monday March 28

**TITLE** The Matrix Exponential **CURRENT READING** Zill 8.4

#### Homework Set #9

Zill, Section 8.3:  $3^*$ ,  $9^*$ ,  $11^*$ ,  $19^*$  EXTRA CREDIT 32 Zill, Section 8.4:  $1^*$ ,  $2^*$ ,  $5^*$ ,  $23^*$  EXTRA CREDIT 26 Zill, Chapter 8 In Review:  $3^*$ ,  $4^*$ ,  $11^*$ ,  $15^*$ 

## SUMMARY

One way of writing the solution of the homogeneous linear systems  $\vec{x}' = A\vec{x}$  is  $\vec{x} = e^{At}\vec{c}$ .

# 1. Matrix Exponential DEFINITION: matrix exponential

For any  $n \times n$  square matrix A,  $e^{At} = I + At + A^2 \frac{t^2}{2!} + A^3 \frac{t^3}{3!} + \ldots + A^k \frac{t^k}{k!}$ The matrix  $e^{At}$  is a fundamental matrix; it has the property that  $(e^{At})' = A(e^{At})$ .

## Exercise

Show that the solution of the single linear first-order differential equation  $\frac{dx}{dt} = ax + f(t)$  is the sum of the homogeneous and nonhomogeneous solutions  $x(t) = x_h + x_p$ , in other words,  $x(t) = ce^{at} + e^{at} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-as} f(s) ds$ 

Similarly, the solution to 
$$\vec{x} = A\vec{x} + \vec{f}(t)$$
 can be written as  $\vec{x} = \vec{x}_h + \vec{x}_p = e^{At}\vec{c} + e^{At}\int_{t_0}^t e^{-As}\vec{f}(s)ds$ 

## RECALL

If one can diagonalize a matrix  $A = SDS^{-1}$  where S is a matrix consisting of the eigenvectors of A and D is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues of A along the diagonal then  $e^{At} = Se^{Dt}S^{-1}$ 

**EXAMPLE** Let's solve  $\vec{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}$  using the matrix exponential.

## Introducing The Laplace Transform

Zill mentions that another way to use the matrix exponential is to solve the problem using the Laplace Transform. See Zill, Example 1 on page 362.

A Laplace Transform  $\mathcal{L}$  is an operator which takes a function F(t) as its input and produces f(s) as its input. The Inverse Laplace Transform  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  takes f(s) as input and produces F(t) as output. It turns out (we'll see why later!) that  $\mathcal{L}[e^{At}] = (s\mathcal{I} - A)^{-1}$  which means that  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(s\mathcal{I} - A)^{-1}] = e^{At}$  also.

**Exercise** Show that when 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $(s\mathcal{I} - A)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{s+2}{s(s+1)} & \frac{-1}{s(s+1)} \\ \frac{2}{s(s+1)} & \frac{s-1}{s(s+1)} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Using partial fractions one can re-write this matrix as  $(s\mathcal{I}-A)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{s} - \frac{1}{s+1} & \frac{-1}{s} + \frac{1}{s+1} \\ \frac{2}{s} - \frac{2}{s+1} & -\frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{s+1} \end{bmatrix}$ 

which when the Inverse Laplace Transform is applied,  $e^{At} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[(s\mathcal{I} - A)^{-1}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - e^{-t} & -1 + e^{-t} \\ 2 - 2e^{-t} & -1 + 2e^{-t} \end{bmatrix}$