Review definitions of isotopy, homotopy, path, loop. Also recall:

Definition 1. Let X be a topological space. A loop whose image is just one point in X is called a **trivial** loop. A loop is said to be **null-homotopic** if it is homotopic as a loop to a trivial loop in X.

Example 1. Draw two loops in  $T^2$ , one that is null-homotopic, and one that isn't.

Definition 2. Let X be a topological space. X is **path connected** if  $\forall x, y \in X$ , there is a path  $p: I \to X$  from x to y. X is said to be **simply connected** iff it is path-connected and every loop in it is null-homotopic.

*Example 2.* Which closed surfaces are simply connected?  $^{1}$ 

*Example* 3. Is  $\mathbb{R}^3$  simply connected? How about  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ? How about  $S^2 \times I$ ? How about  $S^2 \times S^1$ ?<sup>2</sup>

Theorem 1. Suppose X and Y are homeomorphic. Then X is simply connected iff Y is simply connected. Proof: HW.

## Multiplying loops

To every topological space X can we associate a group, called the fundamental group of X. Like compactness, connectedness, and simply connectedness, the fundamental group is a topological invariant. It is a very important and powerful tool, since it is a bridge between topology and algebra. For example, it is not easy to prove that  $S^3$  is not homeomorphic to  $S^2 \times I$ . One way to prove it is to compute the fundamental group of each and show they are different. It will take a bit of work and patience before we get to a precise definition of the fundamental group.

Let's start with an informal discussion. Suppose we have two loops, both of which start and end at the same point  $x_0 \in X$ . Informally, we can "combine" or "join" these two loops into one loop, as follows: Think of a loop as a one-minute walk ( $t \in [0,1]$ ) that starts and ends at the same point. Now, to combine the two loops, start at  $x_0$  and walk along the first loop, but twice as fast as normal, so that after half a minute you will be at  $x_0$  again. Then walk along the second loop, again at twice the normal speed, so that after another half a minute you will be at  $x_0$  in the middle of the walk).

Now let's do this formally. Instead of "combine" or "join", we say *multiply* (because we get a group, as we will soon see). The new loop is called the *product* of the two original loops.

Definition 3. Let  $\alpha : I \to X$  and  $\beta : I \to X$  be loops, such that  $\alpha(0) = \alpha(1) = \beta(0) = \beta(1) = x_0$  for some point  $x_0 \in X$ . The **product** of  $\alpha$  with  $\beta$ , written  $\alpha \cdot \beta : I \to X$ , is defined as:

$$(\alpha \cdot \beta)(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(2t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1/2\\ \beta(2t-1) & \text{if } 1/2 < t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Example 4. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0), (6,0)\}$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be loops that start and end at the point (3,0), such that the image of  $\alpha$  is a circle of radius 3 centered at the origin, and the image of  $\beta$  is a circle of radius 3 centered at (6,0). Both loops are traveled in the counterclockwise direction.

Q: Find precise maps for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .<sup>3</sup>

Q: Draw the images of  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  and  $\beta \cdot \alpha$ . Does  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  equal  $\beta \cdot \alpha$ ? Hint: trace their images. <sup>4</sup> Are  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  and  $\beta \cdot \alpha$  homotopic as loops? <sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Only  $S^2$  is.

 $<sup>{}^{2}</sup>$ Y, Y, Y, N.

 $<sup>{}^{3}\</sup>alpha(t) = 3(\cos(2\pi t), \sin(2\pi t)); \ \beta(t) = (6,0) + 3(-\cos(2\pi t), -\sin(2\pi t)).$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>No. Why?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Yes. Proof: HW.

Can two loops that have different initial points be multiplied together?<sup>7</sup>

Let X be a topological space. Let's pick a point  $x_0 \in X$ . We are going to focus attention on loops that start and end at  $x_0$ .

Definition 4. Let X be a topological space, and  $x_0$  an arbitrary point in X. A loop in X is said to be **based** at  $x_0$  iff its initial and terminal points are  $x_0$ . The point  $x_0$  is called the **basepoint** of such a loop.

Definition 5. Let X be a topological space. Two paths starting at  $x_0$  and ending at  $x_1$  are said to be **homotopic rel endpoints** or **path homotopic** if there is a homotopy H between them such that the endpoints remain fixed during the homotopy. In other words,  $\forall t \in I$ ,  $H(0,t) = x_0$ , and  $H(1,t) = x_1$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are path homotopic, we write  $\alpha \sim \beta$ .

It is clear from definition that if two loops are homotopic rel basepoint, then they are homotopic. The converse, however, is not true!

*Example* 5. In example 4,  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  and  $\beta \cdot \alpha$  are homotopic as loops. But they are not homotopic rel basepoint (this is not easy to prove, but, hopefully, it is at least intuitively plausible to you).

Example 6. Let  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be given by  $f(t) = (\cos(2\pi t), \sin(2\pi t))$ . We can pictorially represent f by a circle of radius 1 centered at the origin, plus an arrow indicating that the circle is traversed in the counterclockwise direction.

Q: What is the basepoint of f?<sup>8</sup>

Q: Find a loop  $g: I \to \mathbb{R}^2$  whose image is the same as the image of f, but it is traversed in the clockwise direction. We think of f and g as *inverses* of each other.<sup>9</sup>

Definition 6. Let  $\alpha: I \to X$  be a loop. The **reverse** of  $\alpha$  is defined as  $\bar{\alpha}: I \to X$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(1-t)$ .

*Example* 7. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the annulus bounded by the circles of radius 1 and 3 centered at the origin. Let  $f: I \to A$  be given by  $f(t) = (2\cos(2\pi t), 2\sin(2\pi t))$ . Find  $\bar{f}$ . Try to see (intuitively) why  $f \cdot \bar{f}$  is null-homotopic.

## A group?

Let X be a topological space, with  $x_0 \in X$ . It seems that the set of all loops based at  $x_0$  might form a group under multiplication as defined above. But to be a group, there are a few conditions that we need to check. For example, multiplication needs to be associative:  $(f \cdot g) \cdot h = f \cdot (g \cdot h)$ .

Let's check this. Instead of using the formal definition of product, it is much easier to think in terms of combining one-minute walks. (Note: Although what follows is informal, it does contain the main idea of the argument, and can be made rigorous.) First consider the left hand side of  $(f \cdot g) \cdot h = f \cdot (g \cdot h)$ . Think of  $(f \cdot g)$  as one loop, and h as another. So we need to travel each part in half a minute. If it takes half a minute to travel  $(f \cdot g)$ , how long does it take to travel each of f and g?<sup>10</sup>

Now do a similar analysis on the right hand side:  $f \cdot (g \cdot h)$ . How long is spent for traveling each of f, g, and h? <sup>11</sup> So is the left-hand side equal to the right hand side, i.e., are they equal as maps? <sup>12</sup>

<sup>11</sup>Half, quarter, quarter minute.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>N, Y.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$ No; why?

 $<sup>^{8}(1,0).</sup>$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Keep reading!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Quarter minute.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ No.

## The fundamental group

Although  $(f \cdot g) \cdot h$  and  $f \cdot (g \cdot h)$  are not equal, they are homotopic as loops (proved in homework). So multiplication would be associative if we considered homotopic loops to be "equivalent." Recall from homework that "is homotopic to" is an equivalence relation. One can similarly prove that "being homotopic as loops" is also an equivalence relation.

Definition 7. Let X be a topological space, with  $x_0 \in X$ . Let L be the set of all loops based at  $x_0$ . The **fundamental group** of X, denoted by  $\pi_1(X)$ , is the set of all equivalence classes of L under the equivalence relation ~ (which here denotes homotopy *rel basepoint*). The **product** of two equivalence classes  $[f], [g] \in \pi_1(X)$  is defined to be  $[f \cdot g]$ .

To prove that  $\pi_1(X)$  is really a group, we need to verify the following:

- Is the product of two equivalence classes well-defined?
  - Q: What does "well-defined" mean here?  $^{13}$
- Is there an identity element for this multiplication? (What is it?)
- What is the inverse of an equivalence class?
- If we pick a different base point  $x_1 \neq x_0$ , do we get the same fundamental group, up to group isomorphism?

*Remark.* The reason we write  $\pi_1$  is that there are also other groups that we assign to every topological space X, which are denoted by  $\pi_2, \pi_3, \cdots$ . They are homotopy classes of maps from  $S^n$  into X;  $\pi_n(X)$  is called the *n*th homotopy group of X.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>It means: if  $f_1 \sim f_2$  and  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , then does it follow that  $[f_1 \cdot g_1] \sim [f_2 \cdot g_2]$ ?